

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMASKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/676,034	09/29/2000	James Berger Camden	6643R2	3252
27752 75	90 03/21/2003			
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			DELACROIX MUIRHEL CYBILLE 11	
	HILL AVENUE	ER - BUX 161		
	NCINNATI, OH 45224		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		09/676,034	CAMDEN ET AL.			
	omec Acaon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appe	Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid	1614			
Period for		ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address –			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 N</u>	ovember 2002 .				
<u> </u>		s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositio	n of Claims	•				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-20,23 and 24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
· .	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-12,14-20 and 24</u> is/are allowed.					
·	6) Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept		niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)∐ Tł	ne proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) 🔲 Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/676,034 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

The following is responsive to Applicant's remarks received Nov. 4, 2002.

No claims are cancelled. No new claims are added. Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-20, 23, 24 are currently pending.

The previous double patenting rejections set forth in paragraphs 1-3 of the office action mailed Aug. 19, 2002 are withdrawn in view of the Terminal Disclaimer received Nov. 30, 2001.

Information Disclosure Statement

The copending applications listed in the Information Disclosure Statement received Oct. 30, 2000 have been considered. The Examiner has lined through these citations because the copending application information will not be printed.

Specification

1. The use of trademarks such as TAXOL (page 17) etc. (please also see page 22) has been noted in this application. It should be **capitalized** wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 09/676,034 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

2. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

3. The factors to be considered in determining whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirement of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988). Among these factors are: (1) the nature of the invention; (2) the state of the prior art; (3) the relative skill of those in the art; (4) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; (5) the breadth of the claims; (6) the amount of direction or guidance presented; (7) the presence or absence of working examples; and (8) the quantity of experimentation necessary. When the above factors are weighed, it is the examiner's position that one skilled in the art could not practice the invention without undue experimentation.

(1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method of treating a viral infection in a warm-blooded animal comprising administering an effective amount of a compound represented by formula A-1.

(2) The state of the prior art

The art recognizes the presence of several types of viruses, i.e. DNA viruses and RNA viruses, each of which function differently. According to Goodman & Gilman's, effective antiviral agents have a limited spectrum of activity and target a specific viral protein (please see page 1192; lines 13-16 of the left column). Therefore, an "antiviral" agent effective against herpes would not necessarily treat an HIV infection.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,034 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

(3) The relative skill of those in the art

The relative skill of the those in the art is high.

(4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art

The unpredictability of the pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

(5) The breadth of the claims

The claims are very broad and encompass treatment of a viral infection in general.

(6) The amount of direction or guidance presented

Applicant's specification does not appear to provide guidance for the treatment of viral infections which may encompass both DNA and RNA viruses (please see page 8, lines 14-16). The specification provides no guidance, in the way of written description, to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to use the invention commensurate in scope with the claims, which, as stated above, are broad and encompass numerous viral infections. In re Dreshfield, 110 F.2d 235, 45 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1940), gives this general rule: "It is well settled that in cases involving chemicals and chemical compounds, which differ radically in their properties it must appear in an applicant's specification either by the enumeration of a sufficient number of the members of a group or by other appropriate language, that the chemicals or chemical combinations included in the claims are capable of accomplishing the desired result." Applicant's specification does not set forth a representative number of examples of the claimed compounds which have antiviral activity and which would be capable of treating different kinds of viral infections.

(7) The presence or absence of working examples

Application/Control Number: 09/676,034

Page 5

Art Unit: 1614

There are no working examples, in vivo or in vitro, in the specification relating to the treatment of viruses.

(8) The quantity of experimentation necessary

Since (1) antivirals have a restricted spectrum of antiviral activity and (2) since compound structure and activity for pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine which compounds would have antiviral activity as well as the viruses against which the claimed compounds would be effective.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-20, 24 are free from the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or fairly suggest Applicant's claimed methods.

Conclusion

Claim 23 is rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cybille Delacroix-Muirheid whose telephone number is (703) 306-3227. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 8:30 to 6:00. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Mondays.

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marianne Seidel, can be reached on (703) 308-4725. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

CDM

March 20, 2003

Patent Examiner Group 1600